IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG MONDAY 22nd JANUARY 2024

THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARING OF MATTERS IS IN PHYSICAL COURT

EVEN THOUGH THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARINGS IS PHYSICAL, JUDGES MAY ELECT TO PROCEED VIRTUALLY

LITIGANTS ARE TO CONFIRM THE MANNER IN WHICH THE HEARING WILL BE CONDUCTED WITH THE SECRETARY OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE

IN VIRTUAL HEARINGS, THE LINK WILL BE CREATED BY THE SECRETARY OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE AND CIRCULATED TO THE LITIGANTS

OPPOSED MOTION

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MALINDI J

- 9A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE CRUTCHFIELD J
- 9B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DLAMINI J
- 9C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE GOODMAN AJ
- 9D BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE TURNER AJ

UNOPPOSED MOTION

- GC BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUGDE OPPERMAN J
- GD BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAIER-FRAWLEY J
- GE BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MDALANA-MAYISELA J

URGENT APPLICATIONS

- 9E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE X
- 9F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE X

SPECIAL MOTION

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE UNTERHALTER J 2021/19803

INCOME TAX COURT

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE BAM J

FAMILY COURT

- 11B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE SENYATSI J
- 11C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE K. MEYER AJ

SPECIAL INTERLOCUTORY COURT

GB BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WEPENER J

RAF DEFAULT JUDGMENT COURT

- 8A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE P VAN NIEKERK AJ
- 8B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAISELA AJ
- 8C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE P KHOZA AJ
- 8D BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE S MGIBA AJ

COMMERCIAL COURT

Offsite facility BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE VALLY J 2021/58226

PART-HEARD MATTER

8F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE PULLINGER AJ 2020/22584

EQUALITY COURT

11E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WRIGHT J EQ3/2023

CIVIL TRIALS OF LONG DURATION

- 8E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE GEORGIADES AJ 2017/00480
- GA BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE CAJEE AJ 2016/32960
- 1A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE FORD AJ 2014/22983

GENERAL CIVIL TRIALS

- 11F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WINDELL J
- 11D BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE ADAMS J

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DIPPENAAR J

- 6A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WANLESS J
- 6B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE F OPPERMAN AJ
- 6C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE A VAN DER MERWE AJ
- 11A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE BERKOWITZ AJ
- 2E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAGODI AJ

THE CIVIL TRIAL ROLL BEFORE SUTHERLAND DJP. IN TERMS OF PARAGRAPH 74 OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S DIRECTIVE 02 OF 2022 WHICH CAME INTO EFFECT ON 08 JULY 2022 AND WHICH WAS AMENDED ON 02 DECEMBER 2022, THERE SHALL BE NO PHYSICAL TRIAL ROLL. PARTIES MUST COMPLY STRICTLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAGH 74 – 88 OF THE ABOVEMENTIONED DIRECTIVE.

PARTIES SHALL BE NOTIFIED OF ALLOCATIONS BY EMAIL.

THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARING OF CIVIL TRIALS IS THROUGH PHYSICAL COURT.

DUE DATE TO SUBMIT PRACTICE NOTES: MONDAY, 15 JANUARY 2024

NUMBER	CASE NO	PARTIES	ALLOCATION/ORDER
ON			GRANTED
ROLL			
	P/	ART A: GENERAL CIVIL	TRIALS

2020/24998	MOHAMMED SAYED	F OPPERMAN AJ
	ISMAIL NALLA VS	Secretary: Mr T Senoko
	NEDBANK LTD AND 2	Email:
	OTHERS	TSenoko@judiciary.org.za
	Plaintiff: Adv N Cassim SC	
	and Adv Y F Saloojee	
	Defendant: Adv M Phalane	
	Plaintiff's claim is for	
	damages against Nedbank	
	based on a delictual claim on	
	the premises that:	
	The first defendant owed a	
	duty of care to the plaintiff;	
	and	
	The first defendant failed to	
	comply with its duties in	
	terms of FICA and its	
	negligence in failing to verify	
	the Third Party's identity, the	
	Third Party's address,	
	conducting ongoing due	
	diligence reporting	
	suspicious transactions to	
	the Financial Intelligence	
	Centre, thereby causing the	
	plaintiff's loss.	
	The first defendant denies	
	that it did not comply with its	
	obligations in terms of FICA	
	in respect of the verification	
	of the identity of the third	
	Party.	
	Further, the first defendant	
	asserts that the Plaintiff's	
	negligence was the sole	
	cause of the loss suffered by	
	the plaintiff, if any.	
	Estimated duration: 2 – 3	
	days	
	Pre-trial conference: 18	
	October 2022; 27 November	
	2023	

Separation of issues

2.	2021/57606	BUHLEBEZWE	WINDELL J
		WELLINGTON NYEMBE VS LERATO PATRICIA NYEMBE Plaintiff: Mr M A Okafor Divorce (decree of divorce; division of accrual; primary residence, contact, access and maintenance of minor children) Pre-trial conference: 06 February 2023 Estimated duration: 1 hour	Secretary: Ms A du Toit Email: ADuToit@judiciary.org.za
3.	2021/9573	ATTARI PETROLEUM (PTY)LTD VS PETLANE OILS (PTY) LTD AND OTHER Plaintiff: Adv P van der Berg SC Defendant: Adv W B Pye SC Plaintiff purchased an immovable property on auction. This is an action to reclaim deposits from the first defendant (the seller) for return of the deposit and a claim against the second defendant (auctioneer) for return of its fee. The first defendant has instituted a counterclaim for full payment of the purchase price. The plaintiff will see a postponement. The postponement is not opposed, but the tender for costs are. The plaintiff contend that the wasted costs should be reserved, the defendant contend that the plaintiff should tender the wasted costs. Pre-trial conference: 22 April 2022; 16 October 2023 Minutes filed: 09 November 2022; 12 January 2024 Estimated duration: 1 hour	BERKOWITZ AJ Secretary: Ms N T Magwalivha Email: NTMagwalivha@judiciary.org.za
4.	2016/32960	PALESA TYHALITI OBO AMILA VS MEC	Trial of long duration allocated to CAJEE AJ
		FOR HEALTH	

<u> </u>	0001/-0		
5.	2021/50602	MUZI HANOCK	WANLESS J
		VILAKAZI VS	Secretary: Ms E Manuel
		STANDARD BANK OF	Email:
		SOUTH AFRICA	Emanuel@judiciary.org.za
		Plaintiff: In person	
		Defendant: Adv M Edwards	
		Defamation claim with	
		special plea of prescription	
		raised by the defendant. Pre-trial conference: 15	
		November 2022; 12	
		December 2023	
		Minutes registered: 19 April	
		2023; 13 December 2023	
		Estimated duration: 1 – 2	
		days	
		***Plaintiff will request from	
		the presiding judge	
		permission to allow the media to broadcast the	
		proceedings.	
		proceedings.	
6.	2021/16373	NEWTOWN MOTOR	DIPPENAAR J
6.	2021/16373	NEWTOWN MOTOR DEALERSHIP (PTY)	
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY)	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN	
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens This is an action for payment	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens This is an action for payment of an outstanding debt.	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens This is an action for payment of an outstanding debt. The defendant has bound	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens This is an action for payment of an outstanding debt. The defendant has bound himself towards the plaintiff as surety and co-principle debtor with Nungu Trading	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens This is an action for payment of an outstanding debt. The defendant has bound himself towards the plaintiff as surety and co-principle debtor with Nungu Trading 711 (Pty) Ltd) t/a	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens This is an action for payment of an outstanding debt. The defendant has bound himself towards the plaintiff as surety and co-principle debtor with Nungu Trading 711 (Pty) Ltd) t/a Johannesburg City Auto	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens This is an action for payment of an outstanding debt. The defendant has bound himself towards the plaintiff as surety and co-principle debtor with Nungu Trading 711 (Pty) Ltd) t/a Johannesburg City Auto BMW) and Sovereigh	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens This is an action for payment of an outstanding debt. The defendant has bound himself towards the plaintiff as surety and co-principle debtor with Nungu Trading 711 (Pty) Ltd) t/a Johannesburg City Auto BMW) and Sovereigh Seeker Investment 156 (Pty)	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens This is an action for payment of an outstanding debt. The defendant has bound himself towards the plaintiff as surety and co-principle debtor with Nungu Trading 711 (Pty) Ltd) t/a Johannesburg City Auto BMW) and Sovereigh	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens This is an action for payment of an outstanding debt. The defendant has bound himself towards the plaintiff as surety and co-principle debtor with Nungu Trading 711 (Pty) Ltd) t/a Johannesburg City Auto BMW) and Sovereigh Seeker Investment 156 (Pty) Ltd t/a Johannesburg City	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens This is an action for payment of an outstanding debt. The defendant has bound himself towards the plaintiff as surety and co-principle debtor with Nungu Trading 711 (Pty) Ltd) t/a Johannesburg City Auto BMW) and Sovereigh Seeker Investment 156 (Pty) Ltd t/a Johannesburg City Ford	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens This is an action for payment of an outstanding debt. The defendant has bound himself towards the plaintiff as surety and co-principle debtor with Nungu Trading 711 (Pty) Ltd) t/a Johannesburg City Auto BMW) and Sovereigh Seeker Investment 156 (Pty) Ltd t/a Johannesburg City Ford Estimated duration: 2 – 3	Secretary: Ms J Blake
6.	2021/16373	DEALERSHIP (PTY) LTD VS STEPHEN NALE Plaintiff: Adv P Lourens This is an action for payment of an outstanding debt. The defendant has bound himself towards the plaintiff as surety and co-principle debtor with Nungu Trading 711 (Pty) Ltd) t/a Johannesburg City Auto BMW) and Sovereigh Seeker Investment 156 (Pty) Ltd t/a Johannesburg City Ford Estimated duration: 2 – 3 days (if appearance on	Secretary: Ms J Blake

7.	2021/21032	GRID ELETRONICS	ADAMS J
		(PTY)LTD VS	Secretary: Ms G Dlamini
		QUANDOMANZI	Email:
		INVESTEMENTS	GDlamini@judiciary.org.za
		(PTY) LTD	
		Plaintiff: Adv G V R Fouche	
		The parties entered into a	
		partly written, partly oral	
		agreement in terms of which	
		the defendant had to erect a	
		steel structure and furnish	
		the plaintiff with galvanised	
		roof sheeting.	
		The plaintiff made payments	
		in terms of the agreement to	
		the defendant, however the	
		defendant breached the	
		agreement by failing to	
		complete the installation of	
		the roof structure and by	
		failing to deliver enough	
		galvanised roof sheeting in	
		accordance with the	
		agreement. As a result of the defendant's	
		breach, the plaintiff suffered	
		damages in respect of	
		completing the erection of	
		the galvanised roof, and in	
		respect of rentals to the	
		landlord of its erstwhile	
		premises.	
		Pre-trial conference: 07	
		December 2022	
		Minutes registered: 09	
		December 2022	
		Estimated duration: 2 - 3	
		days	

8. 2021/30305 RUOELE NANCY MAGODI AJ Secretary: Mr M Magadla MAMOMERE VS Email: **MSIBI BONGANI** MMagadla@judiciary.org.za **LEONARD** Plaintiff: Adv W Marx S Defendant: Adv Schulenberg Divorce Issues in dispute: The existence of a valid customary marriage contemplated in section 3(1) the Recognition Customary Marriages Act 120 of 1998 (as amended); The existence of a joint estate; Adjustment in favour of the plaintiff at the division of the joint estate alleged respect of the Pension Fund pay-out received by the defendant: Maintenance and medical aid claimed by the plaintiff; The powers afforded to the Liquidator as contemplated in paragraphs (i) and (j) of Annexure B to the plaintiff's particulars of claim; Amounts owing to the defendant, being equivalent to mortgage bond payments made by the defendant in excess of this half share of the mortgage bond costs of the property; The defendant holds the view that the issue pertaining to the existence of a valid customary marriage should be decided separately from the proprietary relief sought by the plaintiff. The plaintiff is of the that there is no good reason for a separation of the issues. The defendant will request a postponement and to this end has launched substantive application for postponement to be argued

the

September 2022

2022

trial

Minutes filed: 23 November

Application is opposed. Pre-trial conference:

hearing.

13

9. **MEADWAY** 2019/1069 WINDELL J INVESTMENTS (PTY) Secretary: Ms A du Toit Email: ADuToit@judiciary.org.za LTD VS TIN CAN MAN (PTY) LTD Matter is settled Plaintiff: Adv M Karolia Defendant: Adv K Iles Estimated duration: 3 days Pre-trial conference: March 2022; 08 March 2023 There are four actions consolidated under case number 2019/1069. The plaintiff and defendant entered into a settlement agreement on 30 March 2017 at Sandton. In terms of the settlement agreement, the defendant agreed to: Pay to the plaintiff an amount per month in exchange for occupation of the plaintiff's property over the period 01 March 2017 to 28 February 2018: Vacate the property by 28 February 2018; The defendant failed to pay the rentals as agreed and further failed to vacate the property by 28 February 2018. The plaintiff instituted action in terms of which it claimed: Payment of an amount in rentals for the period August 2017 to February 2018 under claim A; Damages in an amount being the market related rental that the plaintiff would have earned for the period March 2018 to December 2018, under claim B. The further actions which the plaintiff instituted under the other case numbers, and now consolidated, are for damages sustained by the plaintiff beyond December 2018. The defendant's defence is the settlement agreement is of no force and effect and its denies liability for damages. Plaintiff claims: Specific performance seeking rental payments in

		amounts agreed upon in terms of the settlement agreement, this in respect of the period August 2017 to end February 2018; Damages for breach of contract for failing to vacate the property on the agreed date (damages as a result of not being able to let the property and/or not being able to occupy the property with effect from 01 March 2018. The defendant denies that the settlement is of force and effect.	
10.	2022/15789	LEBOGANG DUBE v MOGOTSI PHILLEMON BOKABA Plaintiff: Adv M Senyatsi Divorce Matter is settled – parties seek that settlement agreement be incorporated in court order Estimated duration: 45 minutes	Sutherland DJP Secretary: Ms S Kajee Email: SKajee@judiciary.org.za
PART B: ROAD ACCIDENT FUND			

L

11	2021/32900	ROAD ACCIDENT FUND v EVA HELENE MUMENTHALER obo M C SCHERER Plaintiff: Ms P Makhathini Defendant: Adv J C Prinsloo This is an action instituted by the plaintiff (the Fund) to rescind a court order of this court dated 03 March 2020, alternatively for a declaratory order that the court order of 03 March 2020 is unconstitutionally invalid and be set aside. Pre-trial conference: 19 July 2022; 31 January 2023 Minutes filed: 29 July 2022; 07 February 2023 The issue of liability became settled between the parties on the basis of an apportionment. The defendant in terms of the settlement is liable for 90% of the plaintiff's proven or agreed damages. Estimated duration: 1 – 2 hours (if argued); 1 – 2 days (if evidence led)	A VAN DER MERWE AJ Secretary: Ms N Vimbi-Mudau Email: NoVimbi- Mudau@judiciary.org.za
	MATTERS	REMOVED FROM THE R	OLL BY NOTICE
	MATTERO	NEMOVED I NOM THE K	OLE BY NOTICE
I	MATTERS NOT ON	I THE ROLL, COURT FILE CALLING JUDGE	ES ARE NOT WITH ROLL
		CALLING JUDGE	
1.	approximately nine (09 Secretary of the Depu	 court days before the trial date try Judge President. 	outy Judge President by the Registrar e and was correct when it reached the
2.			ed on the website of the Johannesburg ys before the trial date, where litigants
3.	The failure of the registed by no later than five (the registrar, Ms N M)	05) court days before the trial dayumbi (NMvumbi@judiciary.or	oll must be taken up with the Registrar ate. For that purpose, kindly approach rg.za / 010 494 8399) (Ms T Khumalo eously to enquire about the enrolment.
4. 5.	If the registrar appro registrar declines the existing Practice Direct	ves the enrolment, the matter r enrolment, the parties may app	may be enrolled and dealt with. If the ply for a new trial date in terms of the
J.	Last-Influte eliquilles	wiii not be accommodated.	
		1	